Evaluation Scoring Sheet for Concept Note

EVALUATION SCORING SHEET FOR CONCEPT NOTE

The evaluation scoring sheet for Concept Notes (CN) should be completed for all CN received. All CN received will be given a control number by the NCTFJ Manager. If a CN has a "No" as an answer in the Compliance section, the evaluation should not continue. In this case, the scoring sheet will be registered with the CN in NCTFJ files. The Applicant Organization and Programme/Project Approach sections should be completed by individual evaluators and the CN should have a final score composed by the arithmetic average of the individual scores received. The maximum score is 70 points, being 20 points for Applicant Organization and 50 points for the Programme/Project Approach.

Programme/Project Title:	
control number: (given by the NCTFJ)	

Compliance - To be answered by the Secretariat only				
All answers must be Yes for the CN to proceed to be evaluated.				
Is the CN form complete?	Yes	No		
Are the required annexes attached?	Yes	No		
Is the applicant eligible?	Yes	No		
Is the geographic area eligible?	Yes	No		
Is the programme/project related to one or more NCTFJ defined	Yes	No		
objectives?				
Identify the NCTFJ Objective to which the programme/project is		1	1	
related				

Applicant Organization

Score each aspect on a scale of 1 to 5

1 – no or not clear, 2 – unsatisfactorily, 3 – partially, 4 – satisfactorily, 5 – fully

Evaluation aspects	Score	Comments (if any)
Does the applicant organization have experience in		
implementing programme/projects in the proposed thematic		
area?		
Does the applicant organization have experience with the		
intervention proposed?		
Does the applicant organization have a track record in		
managing programme/projects with budgets similar to the		
amount requested?		
Does the applicant organization institutional goals		
align with the NCTFJ's goals and objectives?		
TOTAL SCORE (maximum score of 20)		

Programme/Project Approach

Score each aspect on a scale of 1 to 5

1 – no or not clear, 2 – unsatisfactorily, 3 – partially, 4 – satisfactorily, 5 – fully

Evaluation aspects	Score	Comments (if any)
Does the programme/project present a clear and coherent		
logic of intervention?		
Does the programme/project support national or regional		
policies and strategies in conservation, environmental		
management and/or similar thematic areas?		
Does the programme/project clearly define benefits		
(outcomes) that it will achieve?		
Does the programme/project benefit vulnerable groups (e.g.		
traditional peoples, women, youth) or focus on gender and		
youth perspectives?		
Does the programme/project benefit community groups? If		
it does not directly benefit communities will it contribute		
towards creating the necessary environment for resilient		
communities?		
Does the programme/project continue, replicate or scale-up		

Programme/Project Approach

Score each aspect on a scale of 1 to 5

1 - no or not clear, 2 - unsatisfactorily, 3 - partially, 4 - satisfactorily, 5 - fully

	G	
Evaluation aspects	Score	Comments (if any)
an already tested approach that was successful or clearly		
show synergies with other activities in the area?		
Does the programme/project create new capacities for the		
applicant and contribute to its sustainability in the long		
term?		
Does the programme/project include the needed		
stakeholders or partners for its implementation to be		
successful?		
Does the programme/project connect on-the-ground with		
higher-level activities (e.g. policy action, business practice		
changes, sustainable finance mechanisms) to foster		
structural and sustainable change?		
Does the programme/project estimated budget have a		
coherent relation with the programme/project activities,		
taking into consideration other financial and technical		
resources?		
TOTAL SCORE (maximum score of 50)		